



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,608	01/08/2004	Robert L. Fair	112056-0148	6334
24267	7590	12/10/2008	EXAMINER	
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP 88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210				PORTKA, GARY J
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2188				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/10/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/753,608	FAIR, ROBERT L.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gary J. Portka	2188	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gary J. Portka (PTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Stephen D. LeBarron (Apps. Rep., Reg. No. 62,479). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 04 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Permut et al., US 6,260,115.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The parties discussed possible distinctions between the invention and the prior art, specifically the limitations added by the last amendment. Attention was directed to the terms adjusting, adaptively, and plurality of factors. Examiner expressed skepticism that these terms might not be read on the reference, but that further review would be required to identify how. Examiner will respond officially to the outstanding amendment already filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.